


# Quarterly Updates and Estimates

Volume 11, Number 1, 2011  
 Fred Crowley - Senior Economist

## Update on the El Paso County Economy

The trend in the Business Conditions Index (BCI) points to a struggling local economy. The BCI stands at 100.18. This is 14.6 percent higher than its low of 87.41 in February 2009. However, the BCI is also 5.1 percent lower than its recent high of 105.55 in December 2010. Five of the indicators in May are higher than they were in April. However, none are showing good strength. Regional manufacturing activity has declined sharply since March. Disappointing as the recent trends are, it should be noted that seven of the ten indicators



<sup>1</sup> The Business Conditions Index (BCI) is a geometric index of ten seasonally adjusted data series. The El Paso County data are single family and town home permits, new car sales, employment rate, foreclosures, ES202 employment and ES202 wages and salaries. Colorado Springs data are sales and use tax collections and airport enplanements. University of Michigan's Consumer Sentiment and the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Manufacturing Index are non-local indicator in the BCI. The BCI is indexed to December 2007—June 2009 = 100. All raw series are seasonally adjusted by UCCS Southern Colorado Economic Forum using the Department of Commerce X12 adjustment process. See page 3 for an explanation of how the BCI is calculated.

**Table 1: Business Conditions Index Components - All Values Indexed: Dec 2007 - June 2009 = 100**

	COS Enplane- ments	El Paso County SF & TH Permits	U Of Mich Con Sent	Kansas City Fed Mfg Index	El Paso <u>Employ- ment</u> Rate	CoSpgs 2% Sales & Use Tax	County New Car Registra- tions	El Paso County Fore- closures	El Paso County Employed	El Paso County Real Wages	BCI
Feb-10	90.19	170.90	114.63	124.61	96.97	103.90	79.86	100.20	95.71	94.98	104.90
Mar-10	87.55	126.01	114.63	126.25	96.72	98.59	78.40	100.03	95.76	95.60	100.89
Apr-10	87.83	96.18	112.45	132.81	96.66	79.17	88.31	99.86	95.84	97.57	97.75
May-10	84.40	97.60	114.63	118.05	96.78	111.42	87.06	100.06	95.46	97.65	99.76
Jun-10	88.15	109.19	118.37	104.94	96.95	94.10	83.56	100.04	95.18	97.70	98.36
Jul-10	86.16	111.43	105.60	112.48	96.79	102.15	89.38	100.30	95.37	98.91	99.52
Aug-10	86.70	113.21	107.31	105.59	96.51	98.41	87.43	99.85	95.27	99.09	98.62
Sep-10	91.16	99.13	106.22	114.77	96.50	102.91	79.63	99.96	95.52	99.10	98.09
Oct-10	91.58	110.09	105.44	116.74	96.44	103.08	82.84	100.08	94.77	99.78	99.67
Nov-10	92.50	131.51	107.94	129.20	96.28	101.74	91.42	99.58	93.99	100.08	103.59
Dec-10	86.36	130.85	116.03	139.70	96.74	104.82	96.42	100.31	94.37	101.26	105.55
Jan-11	90.65	106.37	115.57	134.78	96.63	104.52	91.53	99.65	94.42	96.87	102.38
Feb-11	87.08	78.35	120.71	155.76	96.65	101.53	91.98	100.77	94.40	97.14	100.68
Mar-11	85.68	101.30	105.13	167.24	96.95	97.88	110.27	101.15	94.33	97.95	104.09
Apr-11	86.15	100.23	108.71	151.17	97.09	106.45	97.30	101.06	94.11	98.98	103.00
May-11	86.99	85.76	115.72	121.33	96.85	109.00	98.54	100.95	93.29	99.22	100.18
May 2011 Compared to:											
Apr-11	1.0%	-14.4%	6.4%	-19.7%	-0.3%	2.4%	1.3%	-0.1%	-0.9%	0.2%	-2.7%
Feb-11	-0.1%	9.5%	-4.1%	-22.1%	0.2%	7.4%	7.1%	0.2%	-1.2%	2.1%	-0.5%
Nov-10	-6.0%	-34.8%	7.2%	-6.1%	0.6%	7.1%	7.8%	1.4%	-0.7%	-0.9%	-3.3%
May-10	3.1%	-12.1%	1.0%	2.8%	0.1%	-2.2%	13.2%	0.9%	-2.3%	1.6%	0.4%
Real wages in El Paso County are estimated by the Forum for the period Jan '11 - May '11											

in the BCI are higher than they were a year ago. The economy moved forward at the speed of ice melting in January.

The knee-jerk ups and downs in single family permit levels are misleading without considering that the current period competes with year ago permit levels when the home buyer incentive programs distorted the demand for housing. Residential housing permit levels are showing modest signs of recovery when the home buyer tax credit programs are controlled in the analysis. See page 5 for a discussion about this.

The near-term outlook for the local economy seems to be slow growth, at best. Nothing suggests the economy is poised for good growth. A lack of growth among primary jobs and real incomes in the area will contribute to slow growth prospects. President Obama's goal to reduce or end military deployments to Iraq and Afghanistan is expected to boost the local economy in 2012.

We need three things. They are jobs! Jobs! And jobs! Financial markets need a resolution to the Federal deficit and prevention of sovereign failure in Europe.

## Southern Colorado Economic Forum's Business Conditions Index Explained

The Business Conditions Index (BCI) is a monthly geometric average of ten seasonal adjusted data series. The El Paso County data are single family and townhome permits; new car sales; employment rate (1-the unemployment rate); foreclosures; QCEW employment and QCEW wages and salaries. Colorado Springs data are sales and use tax collections; and airport enplanements. Non-local indicators in the BCI include the University of Michigan Consumer Sentiment and the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City Manufacturing Index. The base period for the BCI is December 2007 through June 2009. This base level was set to 100. Declines in the index point to a slowdown in the economy while increases in the index point to a rising economy. Not all indicators in the index move up or down in unison. Some may rise while others fall. The general direction of the economy is based on the trend value of the composite indicator, the BCI.

The Forum gathers the raw data from a variety of sources. Due to changes in data availability, the composition of the BCI can differ over time. The raw data are adjusted for seasonality using the X-12-ARIMA algorithm developed by the U.S. Census Bureau. This produces a new series for each indicator that has been controlled for seasonal aberrations to identify emerging trends in time series data. The Forum is most interested in observing the overall trend in the BCI and less interested in seasonal peaks and troughs.

The reader should be aware that anytime revised prior values or new observations are used in a series, the X-12 adjustment process can change past indicator values. Thus, adding new observations and running the X-12 process will lead to new X-12 monthly indicator values that will differ from preliminary estimates that were reported previously.

The seasonally adjusted values are indexed to March 2001. This means the value for a given indicator in each month is divided by the base period and multiplied by 100 to get the indexed value for each indicator.

Calculation of the BCI, the Geometric Mean

$$BCI_t = \sqrt[N]{(Ind_{1,t})(Ind_{2,t})(...)(Ind_{N,t})}$$

Where:  $Ind_{it}$  = The level of an indicator, "i" in month "t." A geometric mean is used for the BCI because the geometric mean has less sensitivity to outliers than an arithmetic mean.

Repeated sequential increases in the BCI indicate an improving economic environment while a decline in the BCI points to a decline in economic activity.

### Changing the Base Period

Previous issues of the *QUE* used March 2001 as the base period to calculate the various index values in the publication. The traditional definition of a reference period for an index is the previous recession. Beginning with this issue of the *QUE*, the base period for all indexed values generated by the Forum reported in this publication will be indexed to December 2007—June 2009. These are the official dates from the National Bureau of Economic Research for the previous recession,



## **Mark Your Calendar for the 15<sup>th</sup> Annual Southern Colorado Economic Forum**

**When: Friday, October 14, 2011, 7:30 to 11:30 a.m.**

**Where: Antlers Hilton Hotel, Colorado Springs, CO**

**Cost: \$75.00 / \$95.00 with real estate continuing education credits**

**7:30 – 9:45 a.m. – Global, National and Regional Economic Analysis**

**This Year's Keynote Speaker:**

**Jim Paulsen, Ph.D.,**

**Chief Investment Strategist, Wells Capital Management**

**10:00 – 11:30 a.m. - Business Symposium:**

**“Regional Economic Development Along the Front Range of Colorado”**

**Featured Panelists:**

**Dennis Donovan,**

**Principal/Owner WDG Consulting**

**Dave Csintyan,**

**President and CEO of the Greater Colorado Springs Chamber of Commerce**

## The Local Housing Market

The Forum conducted an analysis of single family residential building permits from July 2001 through May 2011 to determine the condition of the local market for new residential construction. Without getting into unnecessary detail, the analysis included a regression to estimate the effect the first time home buyer program had on new single family permit activity from December 2009 through April 2010. April 2010 was chosen because it was the latest a person could contract for a home and qualify for the tax credit.

The results indicate the program was responsible for 550 permits during the program. Literature on the effects of the tax credit program suggests as much as 75 percent of the homes purchased would have been purchased even if the tax credit program did not exist. Applying this standard to El Paso County, 25 percent of the permits (138) were the result of the home buyer tax credit program.

During the period of December 2009 through June 2010, there were 1,024 single family permits. The Forum's permit model indicates the county would have had 886 permits if not for the home buyer tax credits. There were 851 single family permits from December 2010 through June 2011. Of the 138 home buyers who bought because of the tax credit, it might be realistic to assume half (69) might have been ready to buy a home during the period December 2010 through June 2011. If these buyers are included in the December 2010 through June 2011 figures, the number of permits would have been 920, an increase of 3.8 percent over December 2009 through June 2010. The home buyer tax credit program caused a time shift in new home permits. Controlling for the time shift, permit activity in 2011 is doing slightly better than it appears.

Speculation about home buyer activity during the tax credit program aside, an objective question can be answered. Would a home buyer have been better off if he/she bought a home under the tax credit program in 2010 or today, June 2011?

A comparison of the costs and payments are summarized in the table. Housing prices




	First Time Home Buyer in June 2010	Regular Home Buyer in June 2011	Trade-Up Home Buyer in 2010	Regular Home Buyer in 2011
House Price	237,318	214,902	237,318	214,902
Down Payment (20%)	-47,464	-42,980	-47,464	-42,980
Mortgage (360 payments)	-368,368	-313,964	-368,368	-313,964
Home-Buyer Tax Credit	8,000	0	6,500	0
Sale of house in 30 years (4% growth per year)	697,013	697,013	697,013	697,013
Sum of cash flows	289,181	340,068	287,681	340,068
Advantage		50,887		52,387




were obtained from the Pikes Peak Association of REALTORS®. The 2010 mortgage payments reflects the average interest rate April-May for a June 2010 close. After considering differences in mortgage payments, down payments, interest rates and tax credits, buying a home today is between \$50,887 and \$52,387 less expensive than a year ago. Lower mortgage and down payment advantages for today's buyer mean it would take today's trade-up buyer 14 months to recoup the lost tax credit (\$6,500) and the first time buyer 24 months to recoup the lost tax credit (\$8,000). Anything beyond these periods is an advantage for today's buyer.

Foreclosures are expected to be 34 percent lower in 2011 than in 2009 (see page 11). Combined with a more balanced supply and demand for housing (page 10), prices are expected to stabilize and begin to rise over the next year, barring federal debt contagion and a financial collapse in the economy. The shortage of multi-family housing points to declining vacancies and rising rents (see page 12). If job and income growth do materialize, the current period will be remembered as having been a great time to buy a home.

## Analysis of the El Paso County Residential Housing Market




The figures on pages 6 and 7 summarize trends in single and multi-family permit activity in El Paso County. Please see the write-up on page 5 for additional information.








## MLS Activity

MLS activity for the first six months of 2011 is summarized in the next illustrations.








## Housing Price Equilibrium in the Region

The relation between supply and demand for private residential housing in the region was out of balance because of a significant oversupply in late 2006. This persisted through the middle of 2009. The excess supply of housing contributed to declines in housing prices (blue line) through the end of 2008. An increase in demand continued through the first half of 2010. This overlapped the home buyer tax credit from January 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010, an extension beyond the original June 30, 2010 date. Prices increased. Since then, there was a decrease in demand—not an increase in supply. Prices dropped. It is believed stability of the supply of housing units for sale led to recent price increases for homes. Data over the last three quarters suggest the supply and demand of homes for sale is trending to equilibrium. Prices should stabilize and begin to increase. Continued improvement will depend on low mortgage rates, income and job growth.






### Explanation of Data and Process

The Forum was asked to study the effect demand and supply of single family housing has on housing prices. The Forum gathered monthly demand, supply and price data from the Pikes Peak Association of REALTORS® and its Pikes Peak REALTOR® Services Corporation (RSC) for this study. Demand was identified as actual sales reported by RSC. Supply was identified as the number of active listings by RSC. Price is the average price of an MLS facilitated sale in the region. Demand, supply and price data were seasonally adjusted and indexed. If sustained demand exceeded supply the excess demand would suggest prices would trend upward. If sustained demand is less than supply, the excess supply would suggest prices would trend downward. If trends in supply and demand of housing were roughly equal, prices should behave “normally” with limited plus and minus variations around an upward price trend.

## Foreclosures


The number of foreclosures continues to decline. The current annualized rate suggests foreclosures in 2011 will be about 34 percent lower than they were in 2009.



## Multi-family Market


The market for multi-family housing continued to tighten. The average rent for an apartment is \$737. Vacancy rates are below 6 percent. The lack of new multi-family permit activity will continue to put upward pressure on apartment rents and downward pressure on vacancy rates. Additional multi-family housing appears to be needed in the area.

### Multi-Family Annual Vacancy Rates in El Paso County




Source: Colorado Division of Housing, 2010 is a June 2010 observation

### Annual Nominal & Real Multi-Family Rents in El Paso County



Source: Colorado Division of Housing

### Monthly Apartment Rents in El Paso County




Source: Colorado Division of Housing, UCCS Forum

## Colorado Springs Airport Trends


Air traffic associated with the LPGA tournament at the Broadmoor is expected to provide a boost in enplanements at the Airport for June and July 2011.

### Enplanement Trends at Colorado Springs Airport (Seasonally Adjusted)




Source: Colorado Springs Airport, Prepared by UCCS Southern Colorado Economic Forum

### Enplanements at Colorado Springs Airport vs Year Ago (Seasonally Adjusted)



Source: Colorado Springs Airport, Prepared by UCCS Southern Colorado Economic Forum

### Colorado Springs Airport Enplanements Year to Date Comparison






Source: Colorado Springs Airport, Prepared by UCCS Southern Colorado Economic Forum

## Colorado Springs Sales Taxes




Gasoline prices in the \$3.50 range over the last several months are expected to slow/decrease consumer spending on taxable retail items. Local unemployment rates are in the low 9.5 percent range. The lack of real growth in aggregate income have aggravated the effect high gasoline prices have had on consumer spending. Compared to last year, sales tax collections of the next couple of months are expected to show modest growth when the effects of the LPGA at the Broadmoor are controlled.

For comparison purposes, year-to-date, real per capita sales tax collections in January 2008 through June 2008 (\$135.65) were compared to real per capita sales tax collections in January 2011 through June 2011 (\$123.17). *Real, per capita sales tax collections for the City of Colorado Springs are down 9.2 percent since 2008.*



## New Car Registration Trends

The increase in new vehicle registrations are believed to reflect the normal “inventory adjustment” recovery phase of a recession. Older cars have worn out. Reduced auto industry production over the last few years and the Cash for Clunkers program reduced the number of used cars on the road. The Manheim Used Vehicle Value Index indicates used vehicle prices have gone up 11.7 percent since May 2008. The lack of quality used cars, higher prices on used cars and improved miles per gallon for new vehicles seem to be encouraging buyers to purchase new vehicles.






## Employment Trends and Wages

While higher than a year ago, unemployment rates began a slow, downward trend in December 2010.

While the improvement in unemployment is encouraging, improvements in employment have not materialized. Employment is approximately 27,500 lower than it was at its peak in 2007 (seasonally adjusted).

A further indicator of the weak recovery going on is the decline in aggregate real income since 2008. Real income is approximately 3.5 percent lower today than it was in January 2008.







## National Expectations

The Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia May 2011 *Survey of Professional Economists* (<http://www.philadelphiafed.org/index.cfm>) points toward an expectation for higher inflation and slower growth in the economy. It is the Forum's belief that the May 2011 survey results will be lowered when the August 2011 survey is taken. The more conservative expectations reflect the recent significant changes in the global and domestic economies. The central message from the survey appears to be things are slowing down.

Annualized Rate for					
	Q2-11	Q3-11	Q4-11	Q1-12	Q2-12
10-Year T-Bond Rate	3.40	3.70	3.80	4.00	4.10
3-Month T-Bill Rate	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.50	0.80
AAA Corp Bond Rate	5.14	5.30	5.40	5.50	5.65
New Private Housing Starts (Annualized Rate Millions)	0.58	0.62	0.66	0.69	0.74
Industrial Production Index (2007=100)	94.1	95.1	96.2	97.2	98.0
CPI Annual Rate %	3.5	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.1
Real GDP Growth %	3.2	3.4	3.5	2.9	2.5
Unemployment %	8.9	8.7	8.5	8.4	8.2
Employment Growth					
Nonfarm Payroll Employment Growth (000's)	191.1	194.5	173.9	219.4	182.0
Nonfarm Payroll Employment Growth (%)	1.2	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8
Likelihood of Decline in Real GDP					
Mean Likelihood of a Decline in Real GDP (%)	7	8.5	10.2	12.2	12.1

## Misery Index

The Misery Index, a consumer economic wellness measure ([www.miseryindex.us](http://www.miseryindex.us)), defines consumer misery as the sum of the rate of unemployment and the rate of inflation. The lower left chart illustrates the historical values for the last ten years through March 2011. The rise in the Misery Index beginning in late 2007 identified the onset of the recession correctly. Unemployment rates are not improving. Inflation, as measured by the CPI, is currently 2.5 percent. The interaction of these measures raised the Misery Index to 12.65. This is the highest it has been since the spring of 1983.



**Table 2: Selected Economic Indicators**

<b>Table 2: Selected Economic Indicators</b>													
<b>National Quarterly Data</b>			2010 Q3			2010 Q4			2011 Q1			2011 Q2	Change vs. Yr Ago
Ttl Loans/Lease Charge-off Rate %			2.56			2.28			1.98			na	-1.01
Loan Delinquency Rate %			6.94			6.33			6.16			na	-1.21
Benefit Costs SA 2005=100			111.6			112.2			113.5			na	3.20
Compensation Costs SA 2005=100			112.1			112.7			113.3			na	2.20
Retail Sales SA (billions)			970			1,052			965			na	76.18
e-Sales SA (billions)			39.2			53.2			43.7			na	6.54
e-Sales as % of Retail Sales SA			4.0%			5.1%			4.5%			na	0.00
GDP Real % Growth SA			2.6%			3.1%			1.9%			na	-0.02
Consumer Debt to Disposable Inc			11.9%			11.8%			11.5%			na	-0.01
<b>National Monthly Data</b>	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11	Jun-11	Change vs. Yr Ago
Capacity Utilization SA	75.3	75.5	75.7	75.7	75.8	76.8	76.8	76.5	76.8	76.7	76.7	na	2.20
Car & Lt Trk Sales Millions SA	11.5	11.4	11.7	12.2	12.2	12.5	12.6	13.4	13.1	13.1	11.8	11.4	0.27
Cons Sent (1966=100) SA	68.7	69.5	66.8	68.6	72.1	72.8	71.5	76.8	70.1	70.1	72.7	70.2	-3.2
CPI-U 1982-84=100 SA	217.6	218.1	218.4	219.0	219.2	220.2	221.1	222.3	223.5	224.4	224.8	na	3.7%
Federal Funds Rate (Effective)	0.18%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.19%	0.18%	0.17%	0.16%	0.14%	0.10%	0.09%	0.09%	-0.1%
Gasoline Price per Gal. of Regular	2.73	2.73	2.71	2.80	2.86	2.99	3.10	3.21	3.56	3.80	3.91	3.68	\$0.95
Ind Production (1997=100) SA	90.8	91.0	91.2	91.1	91.4	92.6	92.7	92.4	92.9	92.9	93.0	na	3.0
Inventory/Sales Ratio SA	1.29	1.29	1.30	1.29	1.28	1.28	1.26	1.27	1.25	1.26	1.26	na	-0.02
Mtg Rate, 30 Yr Conventional NSA	4.56%	4.43%	4.35%	4.23%	4.30%	4.71%	4.76%	4.95%	4.84%	4.84%	4.64%	4.51%	-0.2%
Prime Rate (%) NSA	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.25	0.0
Purch Mgr Index SA	55.10	55.20	55.30	56.90	58.20	58.50	60.80	61.40	61.20	60.40	53.50	55.30	0.0
Real Rtl/Food Svc Sales SA (billions)	165.21	166.47	167.89	169.61	170.66	170.91	171.56	172.78	173.14	172.90	172.20	na	7.0
S&P500	1,102	1,049	1,141	1,183	1,181	1,258	1,286	1,327	1,327	1,364	1,364	1,321	289.9
Tech Index SA - Mar 2001 = 100	110.4	113.9	115.2	108.2	112.2	114.1	110.7	111.6	107.0	106.4	107.1	na	-4.3
Trade Weighted Dollar	77.0	76.2	75.2	72.5	73.0	74.0	73.2	72.2	71.0	69.7	69.9	69.8	-9.6
West Texas Oil Spot Price NSA	76.4	76.8	75.3	81.9	84.1	89.0	89.4	89.6	102.9	110.0	101.3	96.3	20.9
<b>Colorado Data</b>	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11	Jun-11	Change vs. Yr Ago
Denver-Boulder CPI SA (est for June)	-	-	-	-	-	213.92	-	-	-	-	-	219.26	3.9%
Kansas City Fed Mfg Index	129.0	121.1	131.7	133.9	148.2	160.3	154.6	178.7	191.9	173.4	139.2	151.2	19.3
Labor Force NSA (000's)	2,704	2,703	2,695	2,682	2,673	2,656	2,662	2,671	2,673	2,671	2,668	2,688	-13.8
Labor Force SA (000's)	2,683	2,678	2,675	2,673	2,670	2,668	2,671	2,678	2,686	2,692	2,692	2,682	-7.7
Employment NSA (000's)	2,683	2,678	2,675	2,455	2,435	2,424	2,399	2,411	2,425	2,449	2,442	2,453	-235.9
Employment SA (000's)	2,466	2,469	2,469	2,436	2,433	2,430	2,427	2,430	2,440	2,454	2,459	2,453	-7.8
Unemployment Rate NSA	8.8%	8.7%	8.4%	8.4%	8.9%	8.7%	9.9%	9.7%	9.3%	8.3%	8.5%	8.7%	-0.2%
Unemployment Rate SA	8.8%	8.8%	8.8%	8.9%	8.9%	8.9%	9.1%	9.3%	9.2%	8.8%	8.7%	8.5%	-0.3%
<b>Colorado Springs Data</b>	Jul-10	Aug-10	Sep-10	Oct-10	Nov-10	Dec-10	Jan-11	Feb-11	Mar-11	Apr-11	May-11	Jun-11	Change vs. Yr Ago
Business Conditions Index SA	99.52	98.62	98.09	99.67	103.59	105.55	102.38	100.68	104.09	103.00	100.18	na	20.4
Co Spgs Airport Boardings SA	84,706	82,252	75,704	78,307	70,444	67,188	61,151	54,971	67,587	65,850	74,089	na	4,826
Foreclosures SA	361	428	412	394	469	359	459	292	235	248	266	275	-123
New Car Registrations SA	1,308	1,280	1,166	1,212	1,338	1,411	1,340	1,346	1,614	1,424	1,442	1,466	243
Sales & Use Tax (000's) SA	9,806	9,448	9,880	9,895	9,767	10,063	10,033	9,746	9,396	10,220	10,464	10,080	1,047
Single Family & TH Permits SA	131	133	116	129	155	154	125	92	119	118	101	108	-30
Labor Force NSA (000's)	300.7	299.2	298.6	296.1	295.0	292.2	294.8	295.2	294.6	294.0	292.2	294.8	-2.1
Employment NSA (000's)	271.6	270.8	271.2	268.9	266.8	264.8	263.4	264.3	264.9	267.3	265.1	265.9	-4.4
Unemployment Rate NSA	9.7%	9.5%	9.2%	9.2%	9.6%	9.4%	10.6%	10.5%	10.1%	9.1%	9.3%	9.8%	0.8%
Unemployment Rate SA	9.6%	9.8%	9.8%	9.9%	10.0%	9.6%	9.7%	9.7%	9.4%	9.3%	9.5%	9.5%	1.1%



## About the Forum

The Southern Colorado Economic Forum (SCEF) is part of the College of Business outreach to the Colorado Springs Community. The Forum gathers, analyzes and disseminates information relevant to the economic health of the region. Through its efforts, the Forum has gathered a number of unique data sets. The Forum and its staff are available for fee-for-service work to analyze business situations, develop forecasts, conduct and analyze surveys and develop solutions to other business problems you may have. Examples of prior work include Small Area Forecast for the Pikes Peak Area Council of Governments, Colorado Springs Airport Passenger Survey, exit survey for La-Z-Boy, a Community Audit for the Pikes Peak Workforce Center and the Data Mining Project for the Colorado Workforce Centers. If you would like additional information about how the Forum can assist you, contact Fred Crowley at (719) 255-3531 or e-mail at [fcrowley@uccs.edu](mailto:fcrowley@uccs.edu).

The *QUE* is available free via an electronic subscription. If you would like a subscription, send an e-mail to [fcrowley@uccs.edu](mailto:fcrowley@uccs.edu) and have the word SUBSCRIBE as the subject.

Previous issues are available at:

[www.southerncoloradoeconomicforum.com](http://www.southerncoloradoeconomicforum.com)

*Quarterly Updates and Estimates* is a publication of the Southern Colorado Economic Forum  
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs  
College of Business and Administration  
1420 Austin Bluffs Parkway  
Colorado Springs, CO 80918

College of Business  
Venkat Reddy, Ph.D., Dean

Faculty Director  
Southern Colorado Economic Forum  
Professor of Finance  
Tom Zwirlein, Ph.D.

Associate Director  
Senior Economist  
Southern Colorado Economic Forum  
Fred Crowley, Ph.D.

A special thanks to the Forum's partners for their continuing financial support.

### Platinum Level

Colorado Springs Business Journal  
First Business Brokers  
Holland and Hart  
The Gazette  
University of Colorado at Colorado Springs  
Wells Fargo

### Gold Level

Colorado Springs Utilities  
Fittje Brothers Printing

### Silver Level

ADD STAFF, Inc.  
BiggsKofford Certified Public Accountants  
Colorado Lending Source  
Ent Federal Credit Union  
Pikes Peak Workforce Center  
Strategic Financial Partners  
UCCS College of Business and Administration

### Sustaining Level

Air Academy Federal Credit Union  
Antlers Hilton Hotel  
Aventa Credit Union  
Classic Companies  
Colorado Springs Chamber of Commerce  
Colorado Springs Regional Economic  
Development Corporation  
DaVinci Financial Planning, Inc.  
DSoft Technology, Inc  
Executive Programs, University of Colorado  
Financial Planning Association of Southern Colorado  
GH Phipps Construction Companies  
Hoff & Leigh  
Kirkpatrick Bank  
KRDO, New Channel 13  
Legacy Bank  
Northwestern Mutual  
Nunn Construction  
Pikes Peak Association of REALTORS  
Salzman Real Estate Services, LTD  
Security Service Federal Credit Union  
TMR Direct  
Transit Mix Concrete Company  
UMB Bank Colorado  
US Bank  
Vectra Bank

Forum sponsorship is available at a number of levels and benefits. Contact Tom Zwirlein at (719) 255-3241 or [tzwirlei@uccs.edu](mailto:tzwirlei@uccs.edu) for information.